THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.11125 of 2007

Dated: 14.06.2007

Between:

Srinivasa Rao Koppineedi

... PETITIONER

AND

1. Jayana Venkata Raju and three others

...RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.GOPAL REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.SWAROOP REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.11125 of 2007

ORDER: (***Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice A.Gopal Reddy***)

The petitioner, who is the husband of the alleged detenue, filed this writ petition seeking a writ of Habeas Corpus directing the respondents to produce his wife viz., Jayana Padma Harika in the Court, to record her statement and to handover her physical custody to him.

It is alleged that the alleged detenue is illegally detained by respondents 1 to 3, who are parents-in-law and brother-in-law of the petitioner. It is also alleged that before her illegal confinement, the alleged detenue lodged a complaint with the Women Protection Cell, as a result of which, respondents 1 and 2 took away all his certificates by taking an undertaking that he would look after his wife properly.

In response to the notice issued, a counter-affidavit has been filed by the fourth respondent, Inspector of Police, Raidurgam Police Station, Cyberabad Commissionerate stating that the alleged detenue is attending to her work at Infosys Technologies Limited regularly and that when he visited the office of the alleged detenue and enquired with her, he learnt that she voluntarily left the company of her husband and is staying with her parents at Plot No.328, A3, Janapriya Apartments, Miyapur, Hyderabad. The employer of the alleged detenue also sent a letter to the Inspector of Police, Raidurgam Police Station stating that as per the attendance records since 21.05.2007 the alleged detenue is regularly attending to the Office. The alleged detenue also wrote a letter to the Circle Inspector of Police, Raidurga Police Station stating that unable to bear the harassment meted out by the petitioner i.e., by

abusing and beating her etc., she was forced to leave his company and live with her parents.

In that view of the matter, the allegations made in the petition appears to be false and filing of the writ petition for Habeas Corpus is nothing but abuse of process and the same is accordingly dismissed.

_____

A.GOPAL REDDY, J

_____

P.SWAROOP REDDY, J

Date: 14.06.2007

va